

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 743421-000079			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____ Signature _____ Typed or printed name _____	Application Number 10/507,116	Filed 2004-09-10			
	First Named Inventor Masafumi Fukuzumi				
	Art Unit 1742	Examiner SHEEHAN, JOHN P.			
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"><div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</div><div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</div><div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 58247 Registration number _____</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</div></td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 10px;"><div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">/Stephen M. Hertzler, Reg. No. 58,247/ _____ Signature Stephen M. Hertzler</div><div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Typed or printed name 202.585.8000</div><div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Telephone number 2007-09-10</div><div style="text-align: center;">_____ Date</div></td></tr></table> <p>NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 58247 Registration number _____</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</div>	<div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">/Stephen M. Hertzler, Reg. No. 58,247/ _____ Signature Stephen M. Hertzler</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Typed or printed name 202.585.8000</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Telephone number 2007-09-10</div> <div style="text-align: center;">_____ Date</div>
<div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 58247 Registration number _____</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</div>	<div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">/Stephen M. Hertzler, Reg. No. 58,247/ _____ Signature Stephen M. Hertzler</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Typed or printed name 202.585.8000</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px; text-align: center;">_____ Telephone number 2007-09-10</div> <div style="text-align: center;">_____ Date</div>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 20px; height: 20px; vertical-align: middle;"></div> *Total of _____ forms are submitted.					

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:) Group Art Unit: 1742
Masafumi FUKUZUMI et al.) Examiner: John P. Sheehan
Application No.: 10/507,116) Confirmation No.: 4195
Filed: September 10, 2004)
For: RARE EARTH SINTERED MAGNET)
AND METHOD FOR PRODUCTION)
THEREOF) Date: September 10, 2007

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby request formal review of the May 8, 2007, Final Office Action, and the August 13, 2007, Advisory Action. In particular, the Examiner has clearly maintained improper rejections of claims 1 to 4, 8 and 10 to 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,595,608 to Takebuchi et al., and claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Japanese Patent Document No. 04-268051 to Ueda et al.

In an effort to overcome the above rejections, Applicants have amended the claims to include specific, tangible characteristics of the claimed invention. Specifically, in the last response, Applicants amended claim 1 to include the limitation “50 at% to 90 at% of the overall grain boundary phase is Co.” Similarly, Applicants amended claim 8 to include the limitation “the liquid phase alloy including 60 at% to 80 at% of R and 20 at% to 40 at% of Co.” In the response, the Applicants explained the importance of these limitations and the novel features resulting from compliance thereto.

For example, with respect to claim 1, according to the claimed magnet, the natural electrode potential of the grain boundary phase increases to -0.75 V or more, too, and the difference in natural electrode potential between the main and grain boundary phases can be reduced to at most 0.6 V, as described in the specification of the present application. Thus, it is possible to prevent corrosion from being produced by a cell reaction between the main and grain boundary phases. This advantageous characteristic results from the amount of Co in the grain boundary phase.

With respect to claim 8, an alloy including a rare-earth element R and Co as its main ingredients is used as the liquid phase alloy so as to reduce the difference in natural electrode potential between the main and grain boundary phases. If the concentration of Co in the liquid phase alloy were less than 20 at%, the natural electrode potential of the resultant grain boundary phase would not become sufficiently high and the difference in natural electrode potential between the main and grain boundary phases would be too big to exhibit the corrosion resistance sufficiently. Nevertheless, if the concentration of Co in the liquid phase alloy exceeded 40 at%, then ferromagnetic RCO_2 would be easily produced in the grain boundary phase of the resultant sintered magnet, thus deteriorating the magnet performance unintentionally. Again, these characteristics result from the claimed amount of Co in the liquid phase alloy.

The primary applied reference, Takebuchi, completely fails to disclose, suggest, or render obvious at least the claimed amounts of Co. Applicants further included explanations of the unexpected benefits of the claimed amounts of Co by describing unexpected advantages that are achieved through the use of the claimed products including the claimed amounts of Co, including the discussed electrode potentials.

However, despite Applicants attempts to overcome these rejections, the Examiner taken the position that "Applicants' argument regarding claim 1 and the electrode potential of the grain boundary phase ... and Applicants' argument regarding claim 8 and the difference in the natural electrode potential of the main phase and grain boundary phase are not persuasive in that claims 1 and 8 are silent with respect to the electrode potential of the grain boundary phase and the difference in the natural electrode potential of the main and grain boundary phase respectively...Further, as set forth in the statement of the rejection regarding the claims that do not recite properties not taught by the references, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been

obvious because the alloys taught by the references are encompassed by the claims.” The Examiner once again relies on *In re Best* when making this argument.

The Examiner has failed to provide any reasoning whatsoever that indicates that Takebuchi discloses the claimed characteristics. Accordingly, as the Examiner has failed to assert that Takebuchi discloses each and every feature in the claims, it is clearly improper to maintain an anticipation rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of Takebuchi. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection should be withdrawn.

This paper is being filed concurrently with a Notice of Appeal and the requisite fee, as required in the guidelines for the New Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program published in the July 12, 2005, Official Gazette Notice.

In view of all of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and prompt notification of the same is earnestly sought.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 10, 2007

/Stephen M. Hertzler, Reg. No. 58,247/
Stephen M. Hertzler
Registration No. 58,247

NIXON PEABODY LLP
401 9th Street, N.W.
Washington D.C., 20004-2128
Telephone: 202-585-8000
Facsimile: 202-585-8080